

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/672,450		KAGLE ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	DANIEL G. MARIAM		2625	

All Participants:

(1) DANIEL G. MARIAM.

(2) MICHAEL J. MEDLEY.

Date of Interview: 20 December 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 12:00PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
35 USC 102

Claims discussed:
1, 10, and 19

Prior art documents discussed:
Peterson(6,411,742)


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Discussed issues pertinent to the above-identified claims. Applicants have agreed to amend each of the independent claims (See the Examiner's Amendment for details).

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



DANIEL MIRIAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)